Tropical approach to legendrian curves in $\mathbb{C}P^3$

Nikita Kalinin

CINVESTAV, Departmento de Matemáticas, Av. Instituto Politécnico Nacional, 2508, Col. San Pedro Zacatenco, México, D.F. CP 07360, MEXICO, nikaanspb@gmail.com

arXiv:1607.07486v1 [math.AG] 25 Jul 2016

Abstract

The famous twistor construction tells us that harmonic surfaces in S^4 can be obtained as projections of complex legendrian curves in $\mathbb{C}P^3$. A mostly computational attempt to study the tropicalizations of the latter curves is presented. Several combinatorial properties of these tropical curves are proven and conjectured. The code in Macaulay2 to compute examples is supplied. The journey starts with drawing a legendrian rational cubics through three generic points and classification of legendrian curves on a quadric surface and ends with a proof of one combinatorial property of legendrian tropical curves and heuristical arguments using tropical differential forms for the second property.

Key words: Tropical geometry, legendrian curves, macaulay2

0. Introduction

Inspired by Gromov-Witten invariants, one can try to count holomorphic curves under some additional restrictions. We will see what can be said about counting of legendrian curves in $\mathbb{C}P^3$, passing through prescribed number of generic points and one generic line.

This problem goes back to I. Vainsencher; his student, Éden Amorim [3] also studied it, but with rather different methods (localizations) and a bit different setup (he was looking for the number of rational legendrian curves through $2d+1$ generic lines). In fact, my goal was to enlarge tropical gear, conquest new territories such as tropical differential forms, tropical distributions, etc. Finally, some combinatorial properties of tropical contact curves have been found, but the mystery remains.

This paper contains the following material. In Section 1.2 we compute the element of $Sp(4,\mathbb{C})$ which brings 3 generic points to three given generic points. In Section 1.3 we classify all algebraic legendrian curves on a quadric surface. In Section 1.5 we predict, using Macaulay2, that the set of rational legendrian cubics through three generic points is a line in the space of coefficients, and we prove this in Section 1.6. In Section 2.3, we show how to draw the tropicalization of all legendrian cubics through three points and how to draw a particular curve in this family. In Lemma 31 we prove local necessary conditions (tropical legendrian tangency property, Figure 6) for a curve to be a tropicalization of an algebraic legendrian curve. We conjecture that the divisibility property (Definition 25, Figure 1) holds for all legendrian tropical curves, demonstrate this in some particular cases and give in Section 3.6 a sketch of a proof of this conjecture, using tropical differential forms. Complete proof will appear in [18].

Below we summarize what is known about complex legendrian curves in $\mathbb{C}P^3$, and mention some related questions. However, in what follows we do not use these facts, our approach is completely elementary, if not only computational. We use Macaulay2 and Mathematica. The code is incorporated into this text, so the reader can verify the results. If a proof is omitted, it means that it is a straightforward computation.

The recent study of the *complex* legendrian curves (see also [2]), by itself, is motivated by minimal surfaces in four dimensional sphere (read [26] and [7] about minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and S^3, respectively). The map $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \to (z_1 + jz_2, z_3 + jz_4)$ from $\mathbb{C}^4$ to $\mathbb{H}^2$ yields so-called twistor (or Penrose) map $\phi : \mathbb{C}P^3 \to \mathbb{H}P^1 = S^4$, and Bryant has shown [10] that the images of the legendrian curves in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ under ϕ are superminimal surfaces in S^4. Furthermore, each minimal immersion $S^2 \to S^4$ can be obtained as $\phi(C)$ where C is a rational legendrian curve in $\mathbb{C}P^3$. Then, each Riemann surface M can be mapped to a legendrian curve in $\mathbb{C}P^3$, using two meromorphic functions (f, g) on M. This proves that for each Riemann surface M^2 there exists a conformal minimal immersion $M^2 \to S^4$, and such immersions are nowadays constructed mostly by this approach (see also [34] about isometric deformation of minimal surfaces in S^4).

The area of the image of a harmonic map $f : S^2 \to S^4$ equals $4\pi d$ if $f(S^2)$ comes as $\phi(C)$, where C is a legendrian rational curve in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ of degree d. The dimension of the space $\mathfrak{M}_{d,0}$ of legendrian maps $\mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}P^3$ of degree d is proven to be $2d + 4$ [6],[21],[32], [33] (and see [19] for the legendrian maps $\mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}P^{2n+1}$). This is done via studying the pairs (f, g) of meromorphic functions $\big($secretly $(f, g) = (\frac{z_1}{z_2}, \frac{z_3}{z_4})\big)$ of degree d with the same ramification divisor. Up to degree six the space $\mathfrak{M}_{d,0}$ is a smooth complex manifold [5]. See also a survey [17] and references therein about minimal immersions $S^2 \to S^{2n}$.

In [35](unpublished, see also [25]) it is proven that if $d \geq g + 3$, then the part of the space $\mathfrak{M}_{d,g}$, which consists of smooth contact curves in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ of degree d and genus g, is smooth. Besides, it is proven there, that a complete intersection cannot be a contact curve. That complicates the study of the contact curves of higher genus, which was approached in [12], [13] [13]. In [22] it is proven that the dimension of $\mathfrak{M}_{d,g}$ is $2d - g + 4$ for $d \geq \max(2g, g + 2)$, and in [12] it is proven that the dimension of each irreducible component of $\mathfrak{M}_{d,g}$ is between $2d - 4g + 4$ and $2d - g + 4$, where upper bound is always attained by the totally geodesic immersions (whose image belongs to a line) and the lower bound is obtained on $\mathfrak{M}_{6,1}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{8g+1+3k,g}$. See [13], for further details about other possible pairs (d, g) with non-trivial contact curve. All this means that for $g \geq 1$ we need to take the degree d of the curve at least 6 what is now beyond our abilities to compute.

For a general overview of complex contact varieties and deformations of contact curves see [11], [35], [36]. Real algebraic contact structures are numerous, the questions about polynomial distributions went back to [16,30], see Example 4.

For the works of the same spirit we mention the study of legendrian curves of minimal degree through two points with prescribed tangency [15] and contact curves in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ [27].

For an introduction to tropical geometry see [8] and [9] and references therein.

Acknowledgement

Research is supported by the grant 168647 (Early PostDoc.Mobility) of the Swiss National Science Foundation. I would like to thank M. Shkolnikov and G. Mikhalkin for their useful suggestions.

1. The contact structure on $\mathbb{C}P^3$

Definition 1. A section ω of $P(\Omega^1(\mathbb{C}P^3))$ is said to be a *contact holomorphic form* on $\mathbb{C}P^3$ if $\omega \wedge d\omega$ is nowhere zero.

Example 2. The form $\omega = ydx - xdy + wdz - zdw$ is contact.

Indeed, consider the restriction of ω to the chart $w = 1$. We have

$$\omega_{w=1} = u = dz + ydx - xdy, u \wedge du = -2dx \wedge dy \wedge dz \neq 0,$$

similar formulae hold in other charts.

Theorem 3 ([20])**.** *Each contact holomorphic form ω on $\mathbb{C}P^3$ is of the type*

$$(py - qz + aw)dx + (-px + rz + bw)dy + (qx - ry + cw)dz + (-ax - by - cz)dw \tag{1}$$

where a, b, c, p, q, r are constants and $pc+qb+ra \neq 0$. Furthermore, all such forms are equivalent under the $GL(4, \mathbb{C})$ action.

Proof. We only sketch the proof. Let α be a holomorphic contact form in $\mathbb{C}P^3$. The form $\alpha \wedge d\alpha$ gives a section of the canonical bundle. Considering transition function for α we conclude that $c_1(\mathbb{C}P^3) = 2c_1(\alpha)$ (the first Chern class of the linear bundle generated by α). It means that if $Pdx + Qdy + Rdz$ is a contact form in the chart $w = 1$, then it extends to the whole $\mathbb{C}P^3$ only if the transition function to another charts have w in denominator in degree at most two. Therefore P, Q, R are polynomials of degree one. The explicit form of all such polynomials that ω is contact follows from the direct computation. □

On the other hand, there are many algebraic contact structures on $\mathbb{R}P^3$.

Example 4 (Numerous real algebraic contact forms)**.** Consider

$$\omega' = (yz^2 + yw^2)dx + (-xz^2 - xw^2)dy + (x^2w + y^2w + w)dz + (-x^2z - y^2z - z)dw, \text{ or}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\omega' =&(x^2y + y^3 + yz^2 + yw^2)dx - (x^3 + xy^2 + xz^2 + xw^2)dy \\ &+ (x^2w + y^2w + z^2w + w^3)dz - (x^2z + y^2z + z^3 + zw^2)dw.\end{aligned}$$

Then, a small perturbation of the coefficients of a real contact structure doesn't affect the fact $\omega \wedge d\omega \neq 0$, hence we can arbitrarily perturb the coefficients of ω'.

At the same time, it seems to be hard to enumerate real algebraic curves which are contact with respect to these contact structures.

Proposition 5. *Any irreducible algebraic curve $C \in \mathbb{C}P^3$ of degree at least three is legendrian with at most one holomorphic contact structure.*

Indeed, when we intersect the distribution given by (1) with the distribution given by $\omega = yxd-xdy+wdz-zdw$, we obtain a vector field v almost everywhere (except finite collection of points as the code below shows). On the other hand, we know that there is a line, tangent to the obtained distribution, through each point in $\mathbb{C}P^3$. Therefore the integral curves for v are lines almost everywhere. Hence, the only locus where a curve, tangent to both distribution, can leave, is the set where these two contact forms coincide, i.e. a finite collection of points.

```
use QQ[p,q,r,a,b,c,x,y,z,w]
a1=p*y-q*z+a*w
a2=-p*x+r*z+b*w
a3=q*x-r*y+c*w
a4=-a*x-b*y-c*z

I=ideal(a1*x-a2*y, a2*w-a3*x,a3*z-a4*w)
C=minimalPrimes I
J=C_8   --all the other ideals C_0,C_1,... give lines if we fix a,b,c,p,q,r
```

```
dim J  --=7, 7-6=1, because we have 6 parameters p,q,r,a,b,c
-- so it is just a collection of points.
```

The global Reeb vector field for the contact structure $\omega = ydx - xdy + wdz - zdw$ is given by $y\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + w\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - z\frac{\partial}{\partial w}$. Its trajectories (i.e. the fibers of the Penrose map) are

$$\varphi(t) = \left(A\frac{(t^2-1)}{(t^2+1)}, 2A\frac{t}{(t^2+1)}, B\frac{((t+k)^2-1)}{((t+k)^2+1)}, 2B\frac{(t+k)}{((t+k)^2+1)} \right) \tag{2}$$

and $(\frac{t^2-1}{t^2+1})' = \frac{4t}{t^2+1}, (\frac{2t}{t^2+1})' = \frac{t^2-1}{t^2+1}$. So, the Reeb vector field just rotates in xy plane and zw plane on the same angle. For each fixed angle this gives a linear transformation.

1.1. Contact form automorphisms

It is known that the group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}P^3$ which preserve the form $\omega = ydx - xdy + wdz - zdw$ is [1] the symplectic group $Sp(4,\mathbb{C})$. The dimension count gives $\dim Sp(4,\mathbb{C}) = 10$ and $\dim PGL(4,\mathbb{C}) = 15$, what agrees with the fact the set of all contact structures Eq. (1) is five-dimensional.

Proposition 6. *We list the set of generators of this group $Sp(4,\mathbb{C})$.*

- *1)* $x \to x + \lambda y$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
- *3)* $x \to z, y \to w$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
- *2)* $x \to y, y \to -x$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
- *4)* $x \to x + \lambda w, z \to z + \lambda y$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
- *5)* $x \to \lambda x, y \to y/\lambda$, $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Proposition 7. *The restriction of a contact structure* (1) *on a plane $z = w = 0$ is $pydx - pxdy = 0$ by an easy computations.*

Therefore the vector field, generated by the contact form, at a point (x, y) equals to a vector (x, y), so the only integral curves are the lines passing through the origin. Since all the planes are equivalent under the action of $GL(4,\mathbb{C})$, all the planar contact curves are collections of lines.

Let us choose an arbitrary plane L.

Proposition 8. *Each contact curve in L is a collection of lines through a point $p \in L$. Moreover, L is the contact plane at p, i.e. L is the zero set of ω computed at this point p.*

[1] We have 6 conditions on the coefficients of a matrix $A \in GL(4,\mathbb{C})$, since A preserves ω, and the condition $\det A \neq 0$, but one can check (by Macaulay2 for example), that the set of such $A \subset \mathbb{C}^{16}$ is a quasiprojective variety of dimension 10.

1.2. Macaulay2 code for the action of contactomorphism group on triplets of points

Proposition 9. *All the elements of* $Sp(4,\mathbb{C})$ *which preserve* $(0,0,0,1),(1,1,1,1),(-1,1,-1,1)$ *are of the form*

$$\mathrm{Stab}^3_\mu : x \to x, y \to y+\mu(z-x), z \to z, w \to w-\mu(z-x), \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\mu & 1 & \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \mu & 0 & \mu & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

Proof. Direct calculation. □

It is easy to send any point of $\mathbb{C}P^3$ to $(0,0,0,1)$ by an element of Sp(4). Then, points of $\mathbb{C}P^3$ can be divided in two classes: those, lying on the plane L through $(0,0,0,1)$ such that $\omega((0,0,0,1))|_L = 0$ and all the others. The subgroup of Sp(4), stabilizing $(0,0,0,1)$ acts on both these classes transitively. Now, consider a point p which is not on the contact planes through $(0,0,0,1)$ and $(1,1,1,1)$. We will prove that there exists an element in the subgroup of Sp(4) stabilizing $(0,0,0,1)$ and $(1,1,1,1)$ that sends p to $(-1,1,-1,1)$.

Lemma 10. The group Sp(4) is *generically 3-transitive*, i.e. every three points $p_1,p_2,p_3 \in \mathbb{C}P^3$ in general position can be sent to every three points $q_1,q_2,q_3 \in \mathbb{C}P^3$ in general position by an element $a \in \mathrm{Sp}(4,\mathbb{C})$. In general, the set $\{a \in \mathrm{Sp}(4,\mathbb{C}) | a(p_i) = q_i, i = 1,2,3\}$ is of dimension one.

The following code in Macaulay2 produces a matrix preserving the contact form ω, which brings generic points (a1,b1,c1,1),(a2,b2,c2,1),(a3,b3,c3,1) to (0,0,0,1),(1,1,1,1) and (-1,1,-1,1). The group of contactomorphisms is generated by the matrices A,B,C,D (see Proposition 6 and below formulae) and by straightforward combination of them we arrive to the answer.

We present here the whole list function that we need further.

```
newPackage("Contact")
export isContact
export cubicSurface
export transformation
export inverseMatrix

--check if the functions x(s),y(s),...give a contact curve
isContact = (x,y,z,w,s)-> (a:= y*diff(s,x)-x*diff(s,y)+w*diff(s,z)-z*diff(s,w))

-- surface through all legendrian cubics
cubicSurface=(x,y,z,w)->(-2*x^3-21*x^2*z+27*y^2*z-60*x*z^2-25*z^3+54*y*z*w+27*z*w^2)

--generators of the contactomorphic group,their action on a matrix M
-- x->x+lambda y
A=(lambda,M)->(matrix{{1,lambda,0,0},{0,1,0,0},{0,0,1,0},{0,0,0,1}}*M)
--x->y,y->-x
B=M->(matrix{{0,-1,0,0},{1,0,0,0},{0,0,1,0},{0,0,0,1}}*M)
--x<->z,y<->w
C=M->(matrix{{0,0,1,0},{0,0,0,1},{1,0,0,0},{0,1,0,0}}*M)
--x->x+ lambda w, z-> z+lambda y
```

```
D=(lambda,M)->(matrix{{1,0,0,lambda},{0,1,0,0},{0,lambda,1,0},{0,0,0,1}}*M)

--special contactomorphism, which preserve (0,0,0,1),(1,1,1,1) and
--brings (x,y,z,w) to (-1,1,-1,1)
Q=QQ[x,y,z,w];
c:= (x-y+z-w)/(4*z); a:=-(y+z)/(2*z); b:=(-x+y+z-w)/(2*(y-z));
M:=matrix{{a+1,b+c-a+a*b-1,-b*(a+1),0},{a,c+a*(b-1),-b*a,0},{0,0,c,0},{0,b,c-1-b,1}};
M0:=inverse(M); den:= denominator M0_(0,0);
M0=den*M0; M0=lift(M0,Q);

--this function gives the matrix for the contactomorphism which
--brings (a1,b1,c1,1)(a2,b2,c2,1)(a3,b3,c3,1) to
-- (0,0,0,1),(1,1,1,1) and (-1,1,-1,1)
transformation = (a1,b1,c1,a2,b2,c2,a3,b3,c3,R)->(
     use R;
     T1:=matrix{{a1,a2,a3},{b1,b2,b3},{c1,c2,c3},{1,1,1}};
     T2:=C(T1); lambda1 := -(entries(T2)_0)_0;
     T3:=C(A(lambda1,T2)); lambda2:=-(entries(T3)_0)_0/(entries(T3)_0)_1;
     T4:=B(A(lambda2,T3)); lambda3:=-(entries(T4)_0)_0/(entries(T4)_0)_3;
     T5:=D(lambda3,T4); T6:=B(C(T5));
     lambda4 :=-((entries(T6)_1)_0 + (entries(T6)_1)_1)/(entries(T6)_1)_1;
     T7:=C(B(A(lambda4,T6)));
     lambda5 := ((entries(T7)_1)_2-(entries(T7)_1)_0)/(entries(T7)_1)_1;
     T8:=B(A(lambda5,T7));
     lambda6 := ((entries(T8)_1)_2-(entries(T8)_1)_0)/(entries(T8)_1)_1;
     T9:=A(lambda6,T8);     T9=lift(liftit(T9,R),R);
     (p1,p2,p3,p4):=((entries(T9)_2)_0,(entries(T9)_2)_1,(entries(T9)_2)_2,(entries(T9)_2)_3);
     M1:=sub(M0,{x=>p1,y=>p2,z=>p3,w=>p4});
     revers:=XX->(
        YY:=A(-lambda4,B(B(B(C(A(-lambda5,B(B(B(A(-lambda6,(M1*XX))))))))))));
        C(A(-lambda1,C(A(-lambda2,B(B(B(D(-lambda3,C(B(B(B(YY))))))))))))
     );
     SR:=revers(matrix{{1,0,0,0},{0,1,0,0},{0,0,1,0},{0,0,0,1}}); SR=lift(liftit(SR,R),R);
     SR)

inverseMatrix=(SR,S)->(t:=promote(SR,frac S); t=inverse(t); lift(liftit(t,S),S))

liftit = (SR2,R)->(
     SR:=SR2;
     li:=(a,b)->( c:=b; try lift(a,R) then c=c else (den:=denominator a; c=den*b); c);
     SR=li((SR_(0,0)),SR); SR=li((SR_(0,1)),SR); SR=li((SR_(0,2)),SR);
     SR=li((SR_(1,0)),SR); SR=li((SR_(1,1)),SR); SR=li((SR_(1,2)),SR);
     SR=li((SR_(2,0)),SR); SR=li((SR_(2,1)),SR); SR=li((SR_(2,2)),SR);
     SR=li((SR_(3,0)),SR); SR=li((SR_(3,1)),SR); SR=li((SR_(3,2)),SR);
     if (numgens source SR)==4 then(
            SR=li((SR_(0,3)),SR); SR=li((SR_(1,3)),SR);
            SR=li((SR_(2,3)),SR); SR=li((SR_(3,3)),SR););
```

```
    SR)

end
```

Note that we are going to apply this for the computations with Puiseux series. The direct approach will consume too much memory, that is why in the code above we do everything by small steps.

1.3. Curves on a hypersurface of degree two

Consider a contact form ω as in (1). We are going to find the restriction of ω on the surface X, given by

$$\{xy - zw = 0\} = Im(f\colon \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}P^3), f\colon (\mu : \mu'), (\nu : \nu') \to (\mu\nu', \mu'\nu, \mu\nu, \mu'\nu'). \tag{4}$$

Note, that any irreducible hypersurface X' of degree 2 in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ is projectively equivalent to X, therefore in this way we will describe all the legendrian curves on all the non-degenerate hypersurfaces X' of degree 2.

Computing in the affine chart $(\mu, \nu) \to (\mu, \nu, \mu\nu, 1) \in X$, we obtain

$$f_* : \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \to \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \to \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + x\frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

The fact that $\omega(f_*(M\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + N\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}) = 0$ at $(\mu, \nu, \mu\nu, 1)$ is equivalent to

$$(p\nu - q\mu\nu + a)M + (-p\mu + r\mu\nu + b)N + (q\mu - r\nu + c)(M\nu + N\mu) = 0, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$M(p\nu + a - r\nu^2 + c\nu) + N(-p\mu + b + q\mu^2 + c\mu) = 0.$$

If a curve is locally of type $(\mu(t), \nu(t))$, then its tangent vector is given by the formula $\mu'\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \nu'\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$. But this, after reparametrization, means that

$$\frac{d\mu}{dt} = (c - p)\mu + b + q\mu^2, \frac{d\nu}{dt} = -((p + c)\nu + a - r\nu^2). \tag{5}$$

We are looking for the algebraic leafs of this foliation. See [14,31] for details about space of foliations with algebraic leafs, [4] for the classification of the quadratic systems with the first integral.

Example 11. Consider the curve $(t, t^2, t^3, 1)$ which lies on the hypersurface $\{xy - zw = 0\}$. It is legendrian with respect to the form $3dx - 3dy + wdz - zdw = 0$, so we put $p = 3, c = 1, q = a = r = b = 0$ and (5) becomes $(\mu', \nu') = (-2\mu, -4\nu) = (\mu, 2\nu)$, hence $\mu = e^t, \nu = e^{2t}$ which is the same as $(\mu, \nu) = (t, t^2)$, and subsequently $\mu\nu = t^3$.

Depending on the coefficients, each equation $\frac{dx}{dt} = c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2$ after a linear change of the coordinates (over complex numbers) becomes one in the following list:

- $\frac{dx}{dt} = c$,
- $\frac{dx}{dt} = cx$,
- $\frac{dx}{dt} = cx^2$,
- $\frac{dx}{dt} = c(x^2 - 1)$.

Example 12. If $\frac{d\mu}{dt} = c_0(\mu^2 - 1)$, $\frac{d\nu}{dt} = c_1(\nu^2 - 1)$, then $\frac{d\mu}{\mu^2-1} = c_3\frac{d\nu}{\nu^2-1}$. That implies $\log(\frac{\mu-1}{\mu+1}) = c_4\log(\frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1}) + c_5$, and finally $c_6(\frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1}) = c_7(\frac{\mu-1}{\mu+1})^{d_1}$ which is algebraic if $d_1 \in \mathbb{Q}$.

To the contrary, the case $\frac{d\mu}{dt} = c_0(\mu^2 - 1)$, $\frac{d\nu}{dt} = c_1\nu^2$ always gives a non-algebraic curve if $c_0c_1 \neq 0$ because this gives an equation of the type $\frac{\mu-1}{\mu+1} = e^{1/\nu}$.

Theorem 13. *After a linear change of coordinates $\tilde{\mu} = c_0 + c_1\mu, \tilde{\nu} = c_2 + c_3\nu$ any legendrian curve on the quadric $xy - zw = 0$ with parametrization (4) can be written in one of the following standard forms :*
- $c_0(\frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1})^{d_1} = c_1(\frac{\mu-1}{\mu+1})^{d_2}$,
- $c_0\nu^{d_1} = c_1\mu^{d_2}$,
- $c_0(\frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1})^{d_1} = c_1\mu^{d_2}$,
- $c_0(\frac{\mu-1}{\mu+1})^{d_1} = c_1\nu^{d_2}$,
- $c_0\mu\nu + c_1\mu + c_2\nu = 0$,
- $c_0\mu + c_1\nu + c_2 = 0$,
- $c_0\mu\nu + c_1\mu + c_2 = 0$,
- $c_0\mu\nu + c_1\nu + c_2 = 0$,
- $\mu = c_0$,
- $\nu = c_0$,

where $c_i \in \mathbb{C}, d_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are some constants.

Remark 14. Given this classification one might count the legendrian curves of given degree and genus lying in a quadric. For example, all rational quartics lie on a quadric surface.

1.4. Legendrian curves of degrees one and two

Definition 15. A map $f : M \to \mathbb{C}P^3$ is *totally geodesic* if $f(M)$ is a legendrian line.

Let us study the rational legendrian curves of degrees one and two. In the case $\deg x, y, z = 1$ or 2, it happens that such curves are parametrized by $(f, p + qf, r + pf)$, where f is a polynomial of degree 1 or 2.

Consider a general line $l = (a_0 + b_0 s, a_1 + b_1 s, a_2 + b_2 s, a_3 + b_3 s)$ in $\mathbb{C}P^3$. Putting it into the contact form we conclude that the line l is legendrian iff $a_1b_0 - a_0b_1 + a_3b_2 - a_2b_3 = 0$. It means that for a point A we have 1-dimensional family of legendrian lines through A, this family is just the contact plane through A. Therefore the number of legendrian lines through 1 point and 1 line equals 1.

Let us observe one important property of legendrian lines. One can think about a line l in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ as four section x, y, z, w of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Let X, Y, Z, W be the roots of x, y, z, w, $x = a_0 + b_0 s, X = -\frac{a_0}{b_0}$, $y = a_1 + b_1 s, Y = -\frac{a_1}{b_1}$, etc.

Proposition 16. *The following three conditions are equivalent:*
- *the line l is legendrian,*
- $y(X)/z(X) = w(Z)/x(Z)$,
- $x(Y)/w(Y) = z(W)/y(W)$.

Proof. Look at table with values of x, y, z, w in X, Y, Z, W.

$$\begin{pmatrix} X = & (0, & \frac{a_1b_0-b_1a_0}{b_0}, & \frac{a_2b_0-b_2a_0}{b_0}, & \frac{a_3b_0-b_3a_0}{b_0}) \\ Y = & (\frac{a_0b_1-a_1b_0}{b_1}, & 0, & \frac{a_2b_1-a_1b_2}{b_1}, & \frac{a_3b_1-a_1b_3}{b_1}) \\ Z = & (\frac{a_0b_2-a_2b_0}{b_2}, & \frac{a_1b_2-a_2b_1}{b_2}, & 0, & \frac{a_3b_2-a_2b_3}{b_2}) \\ W = & (\frac{a_0b_3-a_3b_0}{b_3}, & \frac{a_1b_3-a_3b_1}{b_3}, & \frac{a_2b_3-a_3b_2}{b_3}, & 0) \end{pmatrix}$$

□

Remark 17. Is it possible to generalize this theorem for the curves of higher degree? As we see in Example 28, the straightforward generalization does not take place.

1.5. Legendrian cubics via Macaulay2

Let us find all the legendrian cubics passing through three generic points in $\mathbb{C}P^3$. We parametrize our curve and suppose that is passes through chosen points at $t = -1, 0, 1$.

```
clearAll
--coefficients of the parametrization of the cubic
mainvar=(a0,a1,a2,a3,b0,b1,b2,b3,c0,c1,c2,c3,d0,d1,d2,d3)
R=QQ[mainvar]; P=R[s];
--polynomials for each coordinate
x=a0+a1*s+a2*s*s+a3*s*s*s; y=b0+b1*s+b2*s*s+b3*s*s*s;
z=c0+c1*s+c2*s*s+c3*s*s*s; t=d0+d1*s+d2*s*s+d3*s*s*s;

cont = y*diff(s,x)-x*diff(s,y)+t*diff(s,z)-z*diff(s,t)
--in M we have our relation for variables since in in the variable cont
--(as a polynomial in z) all the coef. should be zeroes
(C,M) = coefficients cont
(A,B,C)=(0,1,-1)

xA=sub(x,{s=>A}); xB=sub(x,{s=>B}); xC=sub(x,{s=>C});

yA=sub(y,{s=>A}); yB=sub(y,{s=>B}); yC=sub(y,{s=>C});

zA=sub(z,{s=>A}); zB=sub(z,{s=>B}); zC=sub(z,{s=>C});

tA=sub(t,{s=>A}); tB=sub(t,{s=>B}); tC=sub(t,{s=>C});

--choose random points
(p11,p12,p13,p14)=(29,-6,13,11)
(p21,p22,p23,p24)=(-3,-17,7,-5)
(p31,p32,p33,p34)=(16,-5,6,23)
--conditions that our curve passes through chosen points
(i1,i2,i3)=(p14*xA-p11*tA,p14*yA-p12*tA,p14*zA-p13*tA)
(j1,j2,j3)=(p24*xB-p21*tB,p24*yB-p22*tB,p24*zB-p23*tB)
(k1,k2,k3)=(p34*xC-p31*tC,p34*yC-p32*tC,p34*zC-p33*tC)

use R; N= M_0; l=i->lift(i,R);

J  = ideal(i1,i2,i3,l(N_0),l(N_1),l(N_2),l(N_3),l(N_4))
S = minimalPrimes J
J0 = S_0; J1 = S_1; J2 = S_2;
--S_3 does not exist

di=i->dim variety i; use P;
Null = ideal(x,y,z,t) --if Null is a subset of our ideal,
-- it means that x,y,z,t are all zeroes at some point,
```

```
-- so we are not interested in such coefficients a0,a1, ...

di J0 -- 7
di J1 -- 8 that raise our suspicions...
di J2 -- 7

--ideal(s-A) means evaluation at A
isSubset(Null, promote(J0,P)+ideal(s-A)) -- false,
isSubset(Null, promote(J1,P)+ideal(s-A)) --true, eliminate!
isSubset(Null, promote(J2,P)+ideal(s-A)) --false

use R; S0 = minimalPrimes (J0+ideal(j1,j2,j3));
J00=S0_0; J01=S0_1; --S0_2 do not exist

use P
isSubset(Null, promote(J00,P)+ideal(s-B)) --false
isSubset(Null, promote(J01,P)+ideal(s-B))--true! eliminate

use R; S01 = minimalPrimes (J00+ideal(k1,k2,k3))
J000=S01_0; J001=S01_1;

use P; isSubset(Null, promote(J000,P)+ideal(s-C)) --false
isSubset(Null, promote(J001,P)+ideal(s-C)) --true eliminate

di J000--1
degree J000--1, so it is linear!
---------
S2 = minimalPrimes (J2 + ideal(j1,j2,j3))
J20=S2_0  --S2_1 does not exist

isSubset(Null, promote(J20,P)+ideal(s-B)) --true, eliminate!
```

As we see, we impose all the constrains on the coefficients a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i and found that the subvariety of the coefficients of legendrian cubics through three generic points is of dimension one (as expected) and of degree one (it was not expected).

1.6. Legendrian cubics

Any rational non-planar cubic is equivalent to $(t, t^2, t^3, 1)$. We can choose a contact form ω_1 such that $(t, t^2, t^3, 1)$ was legendrian with respect to it.

Lemma 18. The cubic $(t, t^2, t^3, 1)$ is legendrian with respect to only one contact structure $\omega_1 = 3ydx - 3xdy + wdz - zdw$.

Proof. Direct calculation, using (1). □

We fix the contact form w_1, then by a contactomorphism we can bring any three generic points to the points $(0, 0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1), (-1, 1, -1, 1)$. The main result of this section is the following theorem (in the previous section we already predicted that the family of such curves should be parametrized by a line).

Theorem 19. *All the rational cubics passing through* $(0,0,0,1),(1,1,1,1),(-1,1,-1,1)$ *and tangent to* $\omega_1 = 3ydx - 3xdy + wdz - zdw$ *are of the form*

$$l(t,\mu) = (t, t^2 + \mu(t-t^3), t^3, 1 - 3\mu(t-t^3)). \tag{6}$$

The result of the theorem is not surprising. We have the orbit of the action of Stab^3_μ (see Eq. (3)) on $(t,t^2,t^3,1)$. Therefore, the only problem is to show that there are no other solutions.

Corollary 20. For each complex contact form on $\mathbb{C}P^3$ the number of rational contact cubics through three generic points and a line in general position is equal to three.

Proof. We intersect the family (6) with a generic line L of the type $(t', p_1 + q_1t', p_2 + q_2t', p_3 + q_3t')$. Because of the genericity, L does not pass through $(0,0,0,1) = l(0,\mu)$, therefore we may suppose that at any intersection of L and $l(t,\mu)$ we have $t \neq 0$. Therefore, at a point of intersection we have $t' = ct$ for some c, and then

$$p_1 + q_1t' = c(t^2 + \mu(t-t^3)), p_2 + q_2t' = ct^3, p_3 + q_3t' = c(1 - 3\mu(t-t^3)). \tag{7}$$

We have $3(p_1 + q_1t') + p_3 + q_3t' = c(3t^2+1)$, therefore, substituting $t' = ct$ we obtain $c = \frac{3p_1+p_3}{3t^2-3q_1t-q_3t+1}$. Then, using the first equality in (7), we get $\mu = \frac{p_1+q_1ct-ct^2}{c(t-t^3)}$. Then, since $c(t^3 - q_2t) = p_2$, we have $t^3 - q_2t = \frac{p_2}{3p_1+p_3}(3t^2 - 3q_1t - q_3t + 1)$. Choosing p_2, q_2 appropriately, we see that the last equation usually has three roots. □

Proof of Theorem 19. Each rational cubic curve has a parametrization of the form

$$(a_0 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3, b_0 + b_1t + b_2t^2 + b_3t^3, c_0 + c_1t + c_2t^2 + c_3t^3, d_0 + d_1t + d_2t^2 + d_3t^3).$$

We supposed that our cubic passes through points $(0,0,0,1),(1,1,1,1),(-1,1,-1,1)$ at $t = 0, 1, -1$ respectively. Substituting $t = 0$ in the parametrization, we obtain $a_0 = b_0 = c_0 = 0, d_0 = 1$. Substitutions $t = \pm 1$ give us

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = b_1 + b_2 + b_3 = c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = 1 + d_1 + d_2 + d_3, \\ a_1 - a_2 + a_3 = -b_1 + b_2 - b_3 = c_1 - c_2 + c_3 = 1 - d_1 + d_2 - d_3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_2 = b_1 + b_3 = c_2 = d_1 + d_3, a_1 + a_3 = b_2 = c_1 + c_3 = 1 + d_2$.

Substituting indeterminates with bigger indices as functions of the indeterminates with smaller indices we obtain that our curve is parametrized by

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1t + a_2t^2 + (b_2 - a_1)t^3, b_1t + b_2t^2 + (a_2 - b_1)t^3, \\ c_1t + a_2t^2 + (b_2 - c_1)t^3, 1 + d_1t + (b_2 - 1)t^2 + (a_2 - d_1)t^3). \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the form $3ydx - 3xdy + wdz - zdw$ on the curve we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 3(b_1t + b_2t^2 + (a_2 - b_1)t^3)(a_1 + 2a_2t + 3(b_2 - a_1)t^2) \\ - 3(a_1t + a_2t^2 + (b_2 - a_1)t^3)(b_1 + 2b_2t + 3(a_2 - b_1)t^2) \\ + (1 + d_1t + (b_2 - 1)t^2 + (a_2 - d_1)t^3)(c_1 + 2a_2t + 3(b_2 - c_1)t^2) \\ - (c_1t + a_2t^2 + (b_2 - c_1)t^3)(d_1 + 2(b_2 - 1)t + 3(a_2 - d_1)t^2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient before t^0 should be equal to 0, so $c_1 = 0$. The parametrization rewrites as

$$\begin{aligned} 3(b_1t + b_2t^2 + (a_2 - b_1)t^3)(a_1 + 2a_2t + 3(b_2 - a_1)t^2) - 3(a_1t + a_2t^2 + (b_2 - a_1)t^3)(b_1 + 2b_2t + 3(a_2 - b_1)t^2) \\ + (1 + d_1t + (b_2 - 1)t^2 + (a_2 - d_1)t^3)(2a_2t + 3b_2t^2) - (a_2t^2 + b_2t^3)(d_1 + 2(b_2 - 1)t + 3(a_2 - d_1)t^2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Coefficient before t^1 equals $2a_2$, so $a_2 = 0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&3(b_1t+b_2t^2-b_1t^3)(a_1+3(b_2-a_1)t^2)-3(a_1t+(b_2-a_1)t^3)(b_1+2b_2t-3b_1t^2)\\
&\qquad+(1+d_1t+(b_2-1)t^2-d_1t^3)(3b_2t^2)-(b_2t^3)(d_1+2(b_2-1)t-3d_1t^2)=\\
&3(b_1t+b_2t^2-b_1t^3)(a_1+3(b_2-a_1)t^2)-3(a_1t+(b_2-a_1)t^3)(b_1+2b_2t-3b_1t^2)\\
&\qquad+b_2t^2(3+3d_1t+3(b_2-1)t^2-3d_1t^3-d_1t-2(b_2-1)t^2+3d_1t^3)=\\
&3(b_1t-b_1t^3)(a_1+3(b_2-a_1)t^2)-3(a_1t+(b_2-a_1)t^3)(b_1-3b_1t^2)\\
&\qquad+b_2t^2(3(b_2-a_1)t^2-3a_1+3+2d_1t+(b_2-1)t^2)=\\
&b_1t^3(-3a_1-9(b_2-a_1)t^2+9(b_2-a_1)+9a_1-3(b_2-a_1)+9(b_2-a_1)t^2)+b_1t(3a_1-3a_1)\\
&\qquad+b_2t^2(3(b_2-a_1)t^2-3a_1+3+2d_1t+(b_2-1)t^2)=\\
&\qquad6b_1b_2t^3+b_2t^2(3(b_2-a_1)t^2-3a_1+3+2d_1t+(b_2-1)t^2)=\\
&\qquad b_2t^2(6b_1t+3(b_2-a_1)t^2-3a_1+3+2d_1t+(b_2-1)t^2)=\\
&\qquad b_2t^2(t(6b_1+2d_1)+t^2(4b_2-3a_1-1)-3a_1+3)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, either $b_2=0$ or $a_1=1, b_2=1, d_1=-3b_1$.
In the first case the curve is going to be as follows:

$$(a_1t-a_1t^3, b_1t-b_1t^3, 0, 1+d_1t-t^2-d_1t^3)=(a_1t, b_1t, 0, 1+d_1t).$$

what is not really a cubic, but in the second case we have

$$(t, b_1t+t^2-b_1t^3, t^3, 1-3b_1t+3b_1t^3)=(t, t^2+\mu(t-t^3), t^3, 1-3\mu(t-t^3)).$$

As we predicted in the previous Section, we obtained a linear family of cubics. □

Remark 21. One can look at what happens in the limiting case $\mu=\infty$. The family of curves converges (if we look at the parametrizations) to a point $(0,-1/3,0)$. On the other hand their tangent vectors at $t=0,1,-1$ converge to $(0,1,0),(-3,-4,-3),(3,-4,3)$ respectively. Then, contact lines from $(0,0,0,1),(1,1,1,1),(-1,1,-1,1)$ with these tangent vectors all intersect in $(0,-1/3,0)$. So, the family $l(t,\mu)$ converges to these three lines as $\mu\to\infty$, these three lines with the embedded point $(0,-1/3,0)$ is a point on the boundary of the Hilbert scheme of rational cubics in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ (see [29] for more details about the compactification of the space of rational cubics).

Remark 22. Is it true for higher degrees? The hypothesis is that there always exist at least d legendrian rational curves of degree d passing through d generic points and a line. An heuristic argument is as follows. We take the one dimensional family (because Stab^3_μ acts on these curves) of the degree d legendrian curves through d points which all belong to a plane L, and write the equation of the surface that they sweep. Then we intersect this surface with L. We obtain a collection of d lines in the intersection, therefore the degree of the surface is at least d, therefore there is al least d legendrian curves through d generic points and one generic line. Also, this approach might work for any genus, as long as the set of the curves is not empty.

1.7. Cubic surface containing the family of legendrian cubics

Here we find the equation of the surface containing all the cubics in the previous subsection. The equation of this surface is $F(x,y,z,w)=2x^3+21x^2z-27y^2z-54yzw-27zw^2+60xz^2+25z^3$. Such a surface intersects a generic line in three points, this gives another proof of Corollary 20.

We found the family of legendrian cubics through $(0,0,0,1),(1,1,1,1),(-1,1,-1,1)$ and tangent to $\omega_1=3ydx-3xdy+wdz-zdw$. Therefore the family $(3t,t^2+\mu(t-t^3),t^3,1-3\mu(t-t^3))$ is tangent to $\omega=ydx-xdy+wdz-zdw$ but passes through $(0,0,0,1),(3,1,1,1),(-3,1,-1,1)$. Therefore we apply the contactomorphism

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & -1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix},$$

which brings these three points to the standard ones.

After the action of ψ, the parametrization of the family of legendrian rational cubics through the points $(0,0,0,1),(1,1,1,1),(-1,1,-1,1)$ is

$$(3t - t^3, 2t^2 + 2\mu(t - t^3), 2t^3, 1 + t^2 - 2\mu(t - t^3)). \tag{8}$$

In the following computation we choose the affine coordinates $(x/z, y/z, 1, w/z)$. The following code produce the equation $F = 0$ mentioned in the beginning of this section.

```
clearAll
path = append(path, "~/Dropbox/legendrian")
loadPackage "Contact"
R=QQ[x,y,w]
T=QQ[t,m]

cubic ={(3*t^2-1)/(2),(2*t^1+2*m*(t^2-1))/(2),(t^3+t^1-2*m*(t^2-1))/(2)}
--sanity check
isContact(-t^3+3*t,2*t^2+2*m*(t-t^3),2*t^3,t^2+1 - 2*m*(t-t^3),t)

f=map(T,R,cubic)
I=ker f
l=mingens I
g=l_0_0 -- 2x^3+21x^2-27y^2-54yw-27w^2+60x+25
R=QQ[x,y,z,w]
g1=2*x^3+21*x^2*z-27*y^2*z-54*y*z*w-27*z*w^2+60*x*z^2+25*z^3
--g1 coincides with g at z=1

sub(g1,{x=>3*t-t^3,y=>2*t^2+2*m*(t-t^3),z=>2*t^3,w=>1+t^2-2*m*(t-t^3)})
sub(g1,{x=>0,y=>0,z=>0,w=>1})--=0
sub(g1,{x=>1,y=>1,z=>1,w=>1})--=0
sub(g1,{x=>-1,y=>1,z=>-1,w=>1})--=0

-- surface containing all legendrian cubics through (0,0,0,1),(1,1,1,1),(-1,1,-1,1)
-- cubicSurface(x,y,z,w)-> == assigned in the main package.
```

2. Tropicalization of legendrian curves

In what follows we suppose that small letters stand for complex numbers of complex-valued functions of t. By the same big letters we denote the corresponding limit of $\log_t$. For example, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \log_t |a(t)| = A$. We do not specify each time whether a small letter stands for a function or is a complex number, because it is clear from the context.

Definition 23. A tropical curve $C \subset \mathbb{T}P^3$ is a tropical legendrian curve if there exists a family $C_t \subset \mathbb{C}P^3$ of complex legendrian curves, such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \log_t(C_t) = C$ in the Hausdorff sense.

Now we state the problem: given three points $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in \mathbb{T}P^3$ in general position, we want to describe the family $S(P_1, P_2, P_3)$ of tropic legendrian cubics through them.

Proposition 24. *The problem is correct in the sense that the set of the tropicalizations of the legendrian curves through generic liftings of P_1, P_2, P_3 to the families of points p_1^t, p_2^t, p_3^t such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \log_t(p_i^t) = P_i$ coincide.*

Proof. Indeed, a small change of points p_1, p_2, p_3 to p_1', p_2', p_3' affects $S_t(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ only a few, because we can apply the contactomorphism which brings p_1, p_2, p_3 to p_1', p_2', p_3', and since we found its form, we see that its matrix is close to the identity matrix. That finishes the proof. □

Similar statements are valid in general situation. If we consider some family of complex curves, then the dimension of the deformation space of a generic curve in this family coincides with the dimension of the deformation space of a generic tropical curve in the corresponding family of tropical curves. On the other hand, if a generic complex curve is superabundant, the same is true for the corresponding tropical curves. Another argument could be that the family of legendrian curves through three points sweeps a cubic surfaces, and instead of above perturbations we can just look at the tropicalization of this surface.

2.1. Tropical legendrian lines

Consider a tropical legendrian line L, i.e. L is the limit of legendrian complex lines l_t.

Equations in Proposition 16 survive under tropical limit, and in the same notation but in the tropical situation we have $y(X)+x(Z)+x(Y)+y(W) = z(X)+w(Z)+z(W)+w(Y)$. But $(x(Y), y(X), z(X), w(Y))$ is the coordinates of the first vertex and $(x(Z), y(W), z(W), w(Z))$ is the coordinates of the second. So, sum of two vertices lies on the plane $X+Y = Z+W$, we call this condition *tropical legendrian divisibility condition* and it completely defines tropical legendrian lines.

Consider a tropical curve $C \subset \mathbb{T}^3$, pick an edge E of C with two vertices A, B.

Definition 25. We say that a tropical curve *looks like a legendrian line* along the edge E if
- the edge E is parallel to the vector $(1, 1, 0)$,
- A belongs to the part of $\mathbb{T}^3$ where $X + Y < Z$, valency of the vertex A is three, and two other edges (others than E) from A are parallel to $(1, 0, 0)$ and $(0, 1, 0)$,
- B belongs to the part of $\mathbb{T}^3$ where $X + Y > Z$, valency of the vertex B is three, and two other edges (others than E) from B are parallel to $(0, 0, 1)$ and $(1, 1, 1)$.

We say that C satisfies the tropical legendrian divisibility property (Figure 1) along E if C looks like a legendrian line along E and the middle point of E belongs to the plane $X + Y = Z$.

We say that C satisfies the tropical legendrian divisibility property if for each edge E of C, such that C looks like a legendrian line along E, this edge C satisfies the tropical legendrian divisibility property along E.

Proposition 26. *All the tropical lines satisfying the tropical legendrian divisibility property can be obtained as tropical limits of complex legendrian curves.*

Proof. If a tropical line has vertices of the type $(A, B, C), (A', B', C')$ with $A + A' + B + B' = C + C'$ then these two points are of the following types.
- $(A, B, A + B + X), (A + X, B + X, A + B + X)$ and the corresponding family of legendrian curves are $(t^A + t^A s, 2t^B + t^B s, t^{A+B+X} + t^{A+B} s)$,
- $(A, B, A + B), (A + X, B, A + B + X)$ and $(t^A + t^A s, t^B + t^{B-X} s, t^{A+B} - (t^{A+B} - t^{A+B-X})s)$,
- $(A, B, A + B), (A, B + X, A + B + X)$, similar to the previous case.

□



Figure 1. Tropical legendrian divisibility property (Definition 25). The point R is in the middle of PQ.

2.2. Tropical legendrian rational cubics via Macaulay2

We found all the legendrian cubics through $(0,0,0,1),(1,1,1,1),(-1,1,-1,1)$, but if we tropicalize these points, they go to $(-\infty,-\infty,-\infty),(0,0,0),(0,0,0)$ in the affine coordinates, which seems to be not very interesting. We want to draw all tropical tropical legendrian curves through three points $(X_1,Y_1,Z_1),(X_2,Y_2,Z_2),(X_3,Y_3,Z_3)\in\mathbb{T}^3$ with different Z_1,Z_2,Z_3 and $X_i+Y_i\leq Z_i$. We start with an example.

Example 27. Let $(X_1,Y_1,Z_1)=(9,2,14),(X_2,Y_2,Z_2)=(-16,-23,-2)$, and $(X_3,Y_3,Z_3)=(-31,-22,-12)$. Then, by direct calculation we can find the tropical cubic sweeping all tropical legendrian cubics through these three points, it is given by $\max(3x{+}321, 2x{+}y{+}328, 2x{+}z{+}327, 2x{+}325, x{+}y{+}z{+}334, x{+}y{+}332, x{+}z{+}347, x{+}2y{+}335, x{+}2z{+}349, x{+}337, 3y{+}342, 2y{+}z{+}340, 2y{+}328, y{+}2z{+}356, y{+}342, y{+}z{+}354, 3z{+}347, 2z{+}361, z{+}359, 347)$

Then we can directly find one of the legendrian curves, it is drawn in the surface. We give the following code in Mathematica [1].

```
fs = {3*x + 321, 2*x + y + 328, 2*x + z + 327, 2*x + 325, x + y + z + 334, x + y + 332,
   x + z + 347, x + 2*y + 335, x + 2*z + 349, x + 337, 3*y + 342,  2*y + z + 340,
   2*y + 328, y + 2*z + 356, y + 342,  y + z + 354, 3*z + 347, 2*z + 361, z + 359, 347};
conds = Table[{Equal @@ fs1,
    And @@ Table[First@fs1 >= f, {f, Complement[fs, fs1]}]}, {fs1, Subsets[fs, {2}]}];
aa = ContourPlot3D[
   x - y == 15, {x, -50, 50}, {y, -50, 50}, {z, -50, 50},
   ContourStyle -> {Yellow, Opacity[0.5]},
   AxesLabel -> {"x", "y", "z"}];
cc = Graphics3D[{PointSize[Large], Green, Point[{9, 2, 14}], Green,
    Point[{-16, -23, -2}], Green, Point[{-31, -22, -12}]}];
bb = Table[
    ContourPlot3D[Evaluate@First@c, {x, -32, 50}, {y, -32, 50}, {z, -32, 50},
    RegionFunction -> Function[{x, y, z} , Last@c], AxesLabel -> {x, y, z},
    ContourStyle -> Directive[Orange, Opacity[0.5]]], {c, conds}];
s1 = Graphics3D[{AbsoluteThickness[10], Blue,
    Line[{{26/3, 26/3 - 15, -50}, {26/3, 26/3 - 15, -12}}]}];
s2 = Graphics3D[{AbsoluteThickness[10], Blue,
    Line[{{26/3, 26/3 - 15, -12}, {12, -3, 2}}]}];
```

```
...
(*s1,s2,... stands for parts of the blue tropical curve *)
Show[bb, cc, s1, s2, s4, s5, s6, s7, s8, s9, s10, s11, s12, s13, s14, s15,
   PlotRange -> {{-20, 20}, {-20, 20}, {-20, 20}}]
```



Figure 2. The tropical cubic containing all the legendrian cubics through $(9, 2, 14), (-16, -23, -2), (-31, -22, -12)$.

On Figure 2 we see that even though the three chosen points are in the half-space $X + Y < Z$, we cannot guarantee that the part of the curve in the half-space $X + Y > Z$ will be in one plane of the type $X - Y = c$.

If we choose our three fixed points not in the part $X + Y < Z$, then the picture becomes even more complicated (see Figure 3) and lack any visible distinction from a random tropical cubic surface.

2.3. Code for producing tropical legendrian cubics and their spanning surface

We want to draw the tropical cubic surface which contains the tropical legendrian cubics through three fixed points. So, we choose three points, which have desired tropical limits as t tends to infinity. Then, using code in Section 1.2 we find the contactomorphic matrix M which brings our points to the standard ones, and then we know the explicit Eq. (8) for the cubic surface, containing all the legendrian rational cubics through these three standard points. Using this matrix M, we obtain the equation of such a surface for our points, then we take the valuation, and this gives the tropical polynomial defining the tropical cubic surface.

```
clearAll
path = append(path, "~/Dropbox/legendrian/")
loadPackage "Contact"

S=QQ[p]

a1=2*p^(13); b1=2*p^(20); c1=p^(33);
a2=2*p^(11); b2=2*p^(5); c2=p^(31);
```



Figure 3. The tropical cubic containing all the legendrian cubics through $(20, 2, 17), (20, 18, 25), (12, 20, 5)$.

```
a3=2*p^(4); b3=2*p^(13); c3=2*p^(27);

M1=transformation(a1,b1,c1,a2,b2,c2,a3,b3,c3,S);--see Section 1.2
R=S[symbol x, symbol y,symbol z,symbol w,s,m, Degrees=>
     {{1,0,0},{0,1,0},{0,0,1},{0,0,0},{0,0,0},{0,0,0}}]

M3=matrix{{x},{y},{z},{w}}
mma=(inverseMatrix(M1,S)*M3)_0
(x1,y1,z1,w1)=(mma_0,mma_1,mma_2,mma_3)
g=cubicSurface(x1,y1,z1,w1)

leadterms2:=(ww)->(
(M,C):=coefficients(ww, Variables=>{x,y,z,w});
C1:=matrix(for i in entries(C_0) list (degree lift(leadTerm(i),S)));
(M*C1)_(0,0))
gg=leadterms2(g)

mon = {x^3,x^2*y,x^2*z,x^2*w,x*y*z,x*y*w,x*z*w,x*y^2,
  x*z^2,x*w^2,y^3,y^2*z,y^2*w,y*z^2,y*w^2,y*z*w,z^3,z^2*w,z*w^2,w^3}
ggg=for i in mon list ((matrix{degree(i)}* matrix{{x},{y},{z},{0}})+coefficient(i,gg))
ans= html(for i in ggg list i_0_0)
```

The variable *ans* gives us

```
{3*x+228, 2*x+y+217, 2*x+z+208, 2*x+236, x+y+z+203, x+y+230, x+z+223, x+2*y+209,
x+2*z+192, x+250, 3*y+200, 2*y+z+196, 2*y+223, y+2*z+185, y+243, y+z+216,
3*z+172,2*z+205, z+236, 263}
```

which we put into the code in Example 27 as *fs*. This gives the following pictures, see Figure 4.



Figure 4. The tropical cubic containing all the legendrian cubics through $(13, 20, 33), (11, 5, 31), (4, 13, 27)$.

Example 28. Now we are ready to find some concrete examples of tropical legendrian rational cubic curves. A priori, it is not simple, because all what we have now is only the parametrization of a curve. If we try to directly take the valuation of the parametrization, we obtain only a part of our curve. So, we will use another approach. A rational curve can by determined by the roots of its coordinates. So, if a curve has a parametrization of the type $(x(s), y(s), z(s), w(s))$, we find x_0, x_1, x_2 which approximate the roots of $x(s)$, i.e. $\mathrm{val}(x(x_i)) << 0$, then we do the same for other coordinate functions. Then we can calculate $(\mathrm{val}(x(x_0)), \mathrm{val}(y(x_0)), \mathrm{val}(z(x_0)), \mathrm{val}(w(x_0)))$, and then the same for $x_i, y_i, z_i, w_i, i = 1, 2, 3$.

While trying to find x_0 we sometimes experience the problem that we need to take square roots or cubic roots. The way to avoid such problems is to choose an appropriate prime number such that the Newton method for finding roots of polynomials works without passing to the extensions of the base field.

```
clearAll; path = append(path, "~/Dropbox/legendrian/"); loadPackage "Contact"

S=QQ[p]; N=2897; S=ZZ/N[p];
a1=1/p^4; b1=1/p^4; c1=p^4;
a2=3*p^12; b2=4/p^8; c2=5*p^(12);
a3=6*p^16; b3=7*p^(8); c3=2*p^(32);

R=QQ[s,m,t,Inverses => true,MonomialOrder => Lex]
if char(S)>0 then R=ZZ/N[s,m,t,Inverses => true,MonomialOrder => Lex]
var={s,m}; mapR=map(R,S,{t});
M1=transformation(a1,b1,c1,a2,b2,c2,a3,b3,c3,S); T1=mapR(M1);

leadterms:=(ww)->(
```

```
(M,C):=coefficients(ww, Variables=>var);
C1:=transpose matrix{for i in entries(C_0) list (degree leadTerm(i))_0};
(M*C1)_(0,0))

Tcoeff:=(w)->(coefficients(w,Variables=>{s}))

-- we are going to sample points on the curve
setpoint:=(s1,m1,curve)->(
     point=sub(curve,{s=>s1,m=>m1});
     ll=degree(point_0_3);
     (degree(point_0_0) -ll,degree(point_0_1) -ll,degree(point_0_2) -ll)
)
--newton method to find roots
newton:=(f,s0,k)->(
     s1:=s0;
     f1:=sub(f,{s=>s+s1});
     (a,b):=coefficients(f1,Variables=>{s});
     d1:=degree leadTerm(b_0_3);
     d2:=degree leadTerm(b_0_2);
     d:=d1-d2;
     den:=0;
     cc:=0;
     for i from 1 to k do(
           f1=sub(f,{s=>s+s1});
           (a,b)=coefficients(f1,Variables=>{s});
           d1=degree leadTerm(b_0_3);
           d2=degree leadTerm(b_0_2);
           d=d1-d2;
           cc=(coefficient(t^(d2_0),leadTerm(b_0_2)));
           if char(R)==0 then den=1/cc else (
                  for i from 1 to N do (if mod(i*cc-1,N)==0 then den=i);
                  );
           s1=s1-t^(d_0)*(coefficient(t^(d1_0),leadTerm(b_0_3)))*den;
           );
     s1
     )

--all contact cubic curves
curve=matrix{{-s^3+3*s},{2*s^2+m*(s-s^3)},{2*s^3},{s^2+1 - m*(s-s^3)}}
result1=T1*curve; result=result1;

--we have result1, which is the curve over S, need to draw,
--but for that we need to sample points on the curve
x:=result_0_0; y:=result_0_1;
z:=result_0_2; w:=result_0_3;
for i from 0 to 3 do (print leadterms(result_0_i))
```

```
m0=t^2
for i from -30 to 5 do print setpoint(t^(i),m0,result)
f=sub(z,{m=>m0,s=>s});

leadterms(x)
f=sub(x,{m=>m0});
use R;
rx1=newton(f,t^(-18),10)
rx2=newton(f,1,10)
rx3=newton(f,-1,10)
setpoint(rx3,m0,result)

leadterms(y)
f=sub(y,{m=>m0})
ry1=newton(f,t^(-4),20)
ry2=newton(f,1,10)
ry3=newton(f,-1,10)
setpoint(ry2,m0,result)

leadterms(z)
f=sub(z,{m=>m0});
rz1=newton(f,t^(-10),10)
rz2=newton(f,94*t^(-1),10)
rz3=newton(f,-94*t^(-1),10)
setpoint(rz3,m0,result)

leadterms(w)
f=sub(w,{m=>m0});
rw1=newton(f,-1+t^(-10),10)
rw2=newton(f,-1+132*t^(-5),10)
rw3=newton(f,-1-132*t^(-5),10)
setpoint(rw1,m0,result)
```

As always, the first few terms of the roots we need to find by hands, see the part with w-coordinate in the above example. The numbers 132 and 2897 are chosen in such a way that the series in t for roots of w may be written using integer (finally, sure, from a finite field) coefficients. I do not know a general algorithm which works in any case and does not require a personal tuning.

Calculating *setpoint(..., m0,results)* for *...=rx1,rx2,...rw3* we obtain the following points on our curve:

$$(-4,8,32),(22,-12,32),(-8,-2,12),(12,-28,12),(-24,-4,4),(10,-44,6),(13,-1,-1),$$
$$(13,-1,-3),(8,-4,-16),(44,30,52),(37,23,40),(37,23,40).$$

So, we find the vertices of our curve: $(22,8,32),(12,-2,12)$ — these are the vertices lying in the planes $Z=32$ and $Z=12$. As it should be, $X-Y=14$ for both vertices as the rest of the curve in the part $X+Y>Z$ should be in a plane $X-Y=c$. But at the bottom we see a different picture. The leg going to $-\infty$ by X coordinate comes to the vertex $(8,-4,4)$ on $X+Y=Z$, where the leg going to $-\infty$ by Z-coordinate branches. Then the curve goes to



Figure 5. On the left, the tropical cubic surface and the plane $X - Y = 14$, on the right we see the part of our curve, in $X - Y = 14$ with $X + Y \geq Z$.

$(10, -4, 6)$, where the leg, going to $-\infty$ by Y-coordinate, branches. Also, the curve came to the plane $X - Y = 14$ in order to unify with the other parts of the curve. The rest of the curve is also uniquely determined, see Figure 5.

As we see in this example, we have a part of tropical cubic curve which looks like a tropical line. Also, it has vertices $(22, 8, 32)$ and $(24, 10, 32)$. As we see, $(22 + 8) + (24 + 10) = 32 + 32$, i.e. the middle point of the interval between the vertices is on the plane $X + Y = Z$.

We list here the values of the coordinates at the roots of the other coordinates.

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\infty & 8 & 32 & 0 \\ -\infty & -2 & 12 & 0 \\ -\infty & -4 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 10 & -\infty & 6 & 0 \\ 12 & -\infty & 12 & 0 \\ 22 & -\infty & 32 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 13 & -1 & -\infty & 0 \\ 13 & -1 & -\infty & 0 \\ 8 & -4 & -\infty & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 0 & 22 & -\infty \\ 14 & 0 & 17 & -\infty \\ 14 & 0 & 17 & -\infty \end{pmatrix}$$

This example is related to Remark 17. It is not clear what and how should something be added in order to obtain a similar identity. Direct attempt would be something like the following. Denote by $Y(X)$ the sum of Y-coordinate at the roots of the X-coordinate, etc. If we try to verify the identity $Y(X) - Z(X) = W(Z) - X(Z)$, we see that it does not hold.

3. Tropical differential forms

3.1. Infinitesimal considerations via the logarithmic Gauss derivative

We define logarithmic Gauss derivative, cf. [23,24,28].

Definition 29. For $s \in \mathbb{K}$ and $f : \mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{K}$ we define the *logarithmic Gauss derivative* f_γ at s by $(f_\gamma)(s) = s\frac{f'(s)}{f(s)}$. So, f_γ is a function $\mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{K}$.

We are going to study the valuation of logarithmic Gauss derivates of tropical polynomials. We start with a polynomial $f : \mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{K}$ where $\mathbb{K}$ is a valuation field, $f(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i s^i$. The tropicalization of f is $\mathrm{Trop}(f)(S) = \max_{i=0}^{n}(\mathrm{val}(a_i) + iS)$, where $S = \mathrm{val}(s)$ is the tropical parameter.

Lemma 30. Consider $s \in \mathbb{K}, S = \mathrm{val}(s)$ and k such that $\mathrm{val}(a_k) + kS > \mathrm{val}(a_i) + iS$ for all $i \in 0, \dots, n, i \neq k$. In this case,
- if $k \neq 0$, then $\mathrm{val}(f'(s)) = \mathrm{val}(f(s)) - S$. Also, $f_\gamma(s) = k+$ higher order terms, $\mathrm{val}(f_\gamma(s)) = 0$;
- if $k = 0$, then $\mathrm{val}(f'(s)) < \mathrm{val}(f(s)) - \mathrm{val}(s)$, and $\mathrm{val}(f_\gamma(s)) < 0$.

Proof. We calculate $f'(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} i a_i s^{i-1}$. Note that $\mathrm{val}(a_i s^i) = \mathrm{val}(i a_i s^{i-1}) + S$, except the case $i = 0$. Therefore $\max_{i=0}^{n}(\mathrm{val}(a_i) + iS) = \max_{i=1}^{n}(\mathrm{val}(i a_i) + (i-1)S) + S$ everywhere except the place where the maximum is attained for $i = 0$. To resolve the case $i = 0$ we consider $g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i s^i$. Clearly, $f' = g'$, $\mathrm{val}(g(s)) < \mathrm{val}(f(s))$ for s such that the monomial a_0 strictly dominates all the $a_i s^i$ in f. Now, for the function g we have the equality $\mathrm{val}(g'(s)) = \mathrm{val}(g(s)) - S$, which concludes the proof. □

Therefore the logarithmic Gauss derivative (if it has non-negative valuation) tells us the slope of a tropical edge.

3.2. Tropical legendrian tangency property

Lemma 31. If $C \subset \mathbb{K}^3$ is legendrian curve, a point $p = (x, y, z) \in C$ and $\mathrm{val}(xy) > \mathrm{val}(z)$, then the difference between the X-coordinate and Y coordinate of $\mathrm{Trop}(C)$ near $\mathrm{Val}(p)$ is locally constant.

Proof. We substitute local parametrization into the form $\omega = ydx + xdy + wdz + zdw$. Let us choose local parametrization such that $w = 1$. The condition that our curve is legendrian reads as

$$x(s)y(s)\left(\frac{x'(s)}{x(s)} - \frac{y'(s)}{y(s)}\right) + z(s)\left(\frac{z'(s)}{z(s)}\right) = 0. \tag{9}$$

Locally, $\mathrm{val}(x(s)), \mathrm{val}(y(s)), \mathrm{val}(z(s))$ are tropical polynomials, so we can apply the reasoning form Lemma 30. Since $z_\gamma(s) = \mathrm{val}\left(\frac{z'}{z}(s)\right) + \mathrm{val}(s)$ is at most zero, then in order to have zero in (9) we need that $\mathrm{val}(s)\left(\frac{x'(s)}{x(s)} - \frac{y'(s)}{y(s)}\right) < 0$ by Lemma 30, i.e. $x_\gamma(s) = y_\gamma(s)$ locally. Therefore either the leading term is constant in both $x(s), y(s)$ (and so changing s does not move the considered point), or $x_\gamma(s) = k+$ higher order terms, and $y_\gamma(s) = l+$ higher order terms, and so we have to have $k = l$. □

Proposition 32. *Analogously, we can prove that in the part where* $\mathrm{val}(xy) < \mathrm{val}(z)$ *a tropical legendrian curve has locally constant Z-coordinate.*

Definition 33. A tropical curve $C \subset \mathbb{T}^3 = \{(X, Y, Z) | X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{T}\}$ satisfies the *tropical legendrian tangency property*, if in the half-space $X + Y > Z$ this curve C is tangent to the distribution $dX - dY$, and in the half-space $X + Y < Z$ this curve C is tangent to the distribution dZ. In other words, if $Z > X + Y$ (resp. $Z < X + Y$), then the curve locally lies in a plane of the type $Z = \mathrm{const}$ (resp. $X - Y = \mathrm{const}$).

Proposition 34. *A tropical legendrian curve $C \subset \mathbb{T}P^3$ (Definition 23) satisfies the tropical legendrian tangency property. In particular, all the edges E of C with endpoints on different sides of the plane $X + Y = Z$ are parallel to the vector* $(1, 1, 0)$.

Proof. Indeed, this proposition follows from Lemma 31 and Proposition 32. □

Proposition 35. *A tropical line $L \subset \mathbb{T}^3$ is a tropical legendrian line (Definition 23) if and only if L satisfies the tropical legendrian divisibility property (Definition 25) and the tropical legendrian tangency property (Definition 33).*



Figure 6. An illustration of tropical legendrian tangency property: parts of a tropical legendrian curve in the half-space $Z > X + Y$ should be locally horizontal, parts in $Z < X + Y$ should have $X - Y$ locally constant. The intersection of a tropical legendrian curve through $(1, 2, 7), (2, 3, 9), (5, 1, 13)$ (thick points) with the half-space $Z > X + Y - \epsilon$ is drawn, see Example 36.

Proof. We just verify that all the tropical legendrian lines (we have the full description in Proposition 26) satisfy the hypothesis of our proposition. □

Example 36. Let us draw a tropical legendrian curve through points $(1, 2, 7), (2, 3, 9), (5, 1, 13)$. Suppose also that it has a point in the half-space $X + Y > Z$ with coordinates satisfying $X - Y = 2$. Thanks to tropical legendrian tangency condition, the picture should be like in Figure 6. We do not know how this curve looks like in the half-space $X + Y > Z$, except that it contains the points $(4.5, 2.5, 7), (5.5, 3.5, 9), (7.5, 5.5, 13)$.

3.3. Tropical divisibility property for curves

Here we prove a version of the divisibility property (Definition 25) for the rational curves which have only one intersection with the plane $w = 0$, such a curve always has a parametrization of the type

$$(a_0 + a_1 s + a_2 s^2 + \ldots : b_0 + b_1 s + b_2 s^2 + \ldots : c_0 + c_1 s + c_2 s^2 + \ldots : 1) \subset \mathbb{K}P^3. \tag{10}$$

Suppose that somewhere our parametrization looks like

$$(x(s), y(s), z(s)) = (\ldots + a_l s^l + \ldots + a_k s^k + \ldots, \ldots + b_k s^k + \ldots, c_0 + \ldots + c_p s^p + \ldots) \tag{11}$$

in the affine coordinates. This notation means that on the interval for s that we consider, at the beginning, the monomials $a_l s^l, b_k s^k, c_0$ are the dominating monomials in the x, y, z coordinate respectively. Then, at some moment, $s = s_1$ in the x-coordinate the monomial $a_k s^k$ becomes dominant. And then, at some moment $s = s_2$ the monomial $c_p s^p$ becomes dominant in the z-coordinate. We suppose that nothing more happens during this period of time. That means that the tropicalization C of our curve has the following behavior in between on $\mathrm{val}(s_1) \le S \le \mathrm{val}(s_2)$. The curve starts in the part $Z \ge X+Y$ of the space and has a vertex P at $S = \mathrm{val}(s_1)$, because $\mathrm{val}(a_l s_1^l) = \mathrm{val}(a_k s_1^k)$ and C changes its direction. Then C goes to the part $Z < X+Y$ and has a vertex Q there, when $\mathrm{val}(c_0) = \mathrm{val}(c_p s_2^p)$. Also, these conditions mean that the leg of C which goes from Q to $-\infty$ by Z-coordinate does not branch.

Remark 37. We can reformulate the conditions Eq. (10),(11) as follows. We consider a part of a tropical curve C, namely, an edge PQ of C. The direction of the edge PQ is $(k, k, 0)$, the direction of edges from P are $(l, k, 0)$ and $(k - l, 0, 0)$, these edges are the infinite legs of C. Also Q has a vertical infinite leg in the direction $(0, 0, p)$.

Theorem 38. *In the above hypothesis, $(k - l)P + pQ$ belongs to the plane $X + Y = Z$.*

Proof. Let us find the valuations of the roots s_1, s_2 of the first and the third coordinates, respectively. We have $\mathrm{val}(s_1) = \frac{\mathrm{val}(a_l)-\mathrm{val}(a_k)}{k-l}, \mathrm{val}(s_2) = \frac{\mathrm{val}(c_0)-\mathrm{val}(c_p)}{p}$. We denote $A_k = \mathrm{val}(a_k), B_k = \mathrm{val}(b_k)$, etc.

Therefore the coordinates of these two vertices P, Q of C are given by

$$\begin{aligned} P &= (A_k + k\frac{A_l - A_k}{k-l}, B_k + k\frac{A_l - A_k}{k-l}, C_0), \\ Q &= (A_k + k\frac{C_0 - C_p}{p}, B_k + k\frac{C_0 - C_p}{p}, C_0). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The condition that $(k-l)P + pQ$ belongs to the plane $X + Y = Z$ is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (k-l)A_k + k(A_l - A_k) + (k-l)B_k + k(A_l - A_k) + pA_k + \\ k(C_0 - C_p) + pB_k + k(C_0 - C_p) = (k-l+p)C_0. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

So we need to verify that

$$A_k(k-l-k-k+p) + A_l(k+k) + B_k(k-l+p) = 2kC_p + C_0(k-l+p-2k). \tag{14}$$

Since $ydx - xdy + dz = 0$, we have

$$(b_k s^k)(la_l s^{l-1} + ka_k s^{k-1}) - (a_l s^l + a_k s^k)kb_k s^{k-1} = pc_p s^{p-1}.$$

This implies $p - 1 = k + l - 1$ and $(k-l)a_l b_k = pc_p$ i.e. $A_l + B_k = C_p$. Finally, it is easy to verify that Eq. (14) follows from the equalities $p - k - l = 0$ and $A_l + B_k = C_p$. □

3.4. Divisibility conditions for "line"-similar parts of the tropical rational legendrian cubics

We will draw a tropical legendrian cubic C through three generic points P_1, P_2, P_3. We will choose these three points P_1, P_2, P_3 in the half-space $X + Y < Z$ with different Z-coordinates $Z_1 < Z_2 < Z_3$ respectively. By Proposition 34 any such curve will satisfy tropical legendrian tangency property (Definition 33). Therefore, there exists a plane with equation $X - Y = c$ such that $C \cap \{(X, Y, Z) | Z < X + Y\}$ belongs to this plane (see Example 36). Suppose also that C has no edges inside the plane $X + Y = Z$ (cf. with Figure 2 where we have such an edge).

Theorem 39. *Suppose that a tropical rational legendrian cubic as above looks like a tropical legendrian line (Definition 25, Figure 1) along an edge PQ. Then the middle point $(P+Q)/2$ of this edge PQ belongs to the plane $X + Y = Z$.*

Proof. For such a curve, we always can choose a local parameter s such that a neighborhood of PQ is given by

$$(a_0 + a_1 s + \dots, b_0 + b_1 s + \dots, c_0 + c_1 s + \dots, d_0 + d_1 s + \dots), \tag{15}$$

if PQ is on the level Z_1 or Z_3. If PQ is in the middle, i.e. on the level of Z_2, then we can choose the following parametrization which also looks like a line:

$$(a_1 s + a_2 s^2 + \dots, b_0 + b_1 s + b_2 s^2 + \dots, c_0 + c_1 s + c_2 s^2 + \dots, d_0 + d_1 s + \dots), \tag{16}$$

and for some parameter s_0 we have

$$\mathrm{val}(a_1 s_0) = \mathrm{val}(a_2 s_0^2), \mathrm{val}(b_1 s_0) = \mathrm{val}(b_2 s_0^2), \mathrm{val}(c_1 s_0) = \mathrm{val}(c_2 s_0^2), \mathrm{val}(d_0) = \mathrm{val}(d_1 s_0).$$

We use the same notation as in the previous section.

In the first case the proof is the same as for a line, because we do not see the higher degree terms. For the second case, it is a computation. We evaluate the contact form on the curve and obtain $b_0 a_1 + d_0 c_1 - c_0 d_1 + s(2a_2 b_0 + 2d_0 c_2) + s^2(\dots)$. This means that $A_2 + B_0 = D_0 + C_2$ and $B_0 + A_1 = C_0 + D_1$ because $C_1 - C_0 < D_1 - D_0$. Now we compute the coordinates of the vertices P, Q, they happen to be

$$(A_1 + B_0 - B_1, B_0, C_0, D_0) \text{ and } (A_2 + 2(C_1 - C_2), B_2 + 2(C_1 - C_2), 2C_1 - C_2, D_0), \tag{17}$$

we need to verify that

$$A_1 + 2B_0 - B_1 + A_2 + B_2 = C_0 + D_0 + 2C_2 + D_1 - C_1. \tag{18}$$

This follows from $B_1 - B_2 = C_1 - C_0 = A_1 - A_2 = D_0 - D_1, B_0 + A_2 = D_0 + C_2$.

□

Remark 40. Note that we heavily use a parametrization of a curve, including information about how many roots of each coordinate we have near an edge. So we use information, which can not be deduced from the local picture, unless we already know very well how the curve looks like combinatorially.

3.5. Integration on a tropical line

We start with an example; then we briefly explain how this example proves the tropical legendrian divisibility property. A formal proof with complete definitions will appear in [18].

Consider the line $L = \{(x, y) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 | x + y - 1 = 0\}$ and the form $\Omega = \frac{dy}{y}$ on it. When $t \to \infty$, the image of L under the map Log_t tends to the locus $\mathrm{Trop}(L)$ where the function $\max(X, Y, 0)$ is not smooth. Consider the interval $AB \subset \mathrm{Trop}(L)$ where $A = (-a, 0), B = (-b, 0), b > a > 0$. It is natural to consider points $A_t = (t^{-a}, 1 - t^{-a}), B_t = (t^{-b}, 1 - t^{-b}) \in L$ as "preimages" of A, B with respect to the map Log_t.

Let us compute the integral $\int_{A_t}^{B_t} \frac{dy}{y} = \ln(1 - t^{-a}) - \ln(1 - t^{-b}) \sim t^{-a}$. Therefore it is natural to say that "the asymptotic" of Ω near the point $(0, a)$ is t^{-a}.

After the toric change $x \to x, y \to x/y$ of coordinates, the form Ω becomes $\frac{dx}{x} - \frac{dy}{y}$. Consequently, it is natural to say that "asymptotic" of $\frac{dx}{x} - \frac{dy}{y}$ near the point (a, a) is t^{-a}.

3.6. Application for tropical legendrian curves

Suppose that we have a tropical legendrian curve C which looks like a tropical legendrian line (Definition 25) along an edge $e \subset C$. Then we consider the form $(\frac{dx}{x} - \frac{dy}{y})$ on the left part of e. Take the point P at the intersection of C with the plane $X + Y = Z + W$. As we showed in the previous section, "the asymptotic" of $(\frac{dx}{x} - \frac{dy}{y})$ at P is t^{-l} where l is the distance from P to the left vertex of e. By the same reasoning, for the right hand side of e we get that "the asymptotic" of $(\frac{dz}{z} - \frac{dw}{w})$ at P is $t^{-l'}$ where l' is the distance from P to the right vertex of e. Since xy/zw is asymptotically a constant near P and $(\frac{dx}{x} - \frac{dy}{y}) = \frac{zw}{xy}(\frac{dz}{z} - \frac{dw}{w})$ we conclude that $l = l'$ which suggests that the tropical legendrian divisibility property holds in the full generality.

References

References

[1] http://mathematica.stackexchange.com/questions/79787/how-to-draw-a-tropical-surface.

[2] A. Alarcón and F. Forstnerič. Null curves and directed immersions of open Riemann surfaces. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 196(3):733–771, 2014.

[3] E. S. C. Amorim. Curvas de contato no espaço projetivo. *Tese*.

[4] J. C. Artés, J. Llibre, and N. Vulpe. Quadratic systems with a polynomial first integral: A complete classification in the coefficient space $\mathbb{R}^{12}$. *J. Differ. Equations*, 246(9):3535–3558, 2009.

[5] J. Bolton and L. Fernandez. Regularity of the space of harmonic 2-spheres in the unit 4-spheres. Ohnita, Yoshihiro (ed.) et al., Riemann surfaces, harmonic maps and visualization. Proceedings of the 16th Osaka City University International Academic Symposium, Osaka, Japan, December 15–20, 2008. Osaka: Osaka Municipal Universities Press. OCAMI Studies 3, 119-128 (2010)., 2010.

[6] J. Bolton and L. M. Woodward. The space of harmonic two-spheres in the unit four-sphere. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 58(2):231–236, 2006.

[7] S. Brendle. Minimal surfaces in S^3: a survey of recent results. *Bull. Math. Sci.*, 3(1):133–171, 2013.

[8] E. Brugallé, I. Itenberg, G. Mikhalkin, and K. Shaw. Brief introduction to tropical geometry. *Proceedings of 21st Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference*, arXiv:1502.05950, 2015.

[9] E. Brugallé and K. Shaw. A bit of tropical geometry. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 121(7):563–589, 2014.

[10] R. L. Bryant. Conformal and minimal immersions of compact surfaces into the 4-sphere. *J. Differential Geom.*, 17(3):357–521, 1982.

[11] J. Buczyński. Algebraic Legendrian varieties. *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)*, 467:86, 2009.

[12] Q.-S. Chi. The dimension of the moduli space of superminimal surfaces of a fixed degree and conformal structure in the 4-sphere. *Tohoku Math. J., II. Ser.*, 52(2):299–308, 2000.

[13] Q.-S. Chi and X. Mo. The moduli space of branched superminimal surfaces of a fixed degree, genus and conformal structure in the four-sphere. *Osaka J. Math.*, 33(3):669–696, 1996.

[14] V. Ferrer and I. Vainsencher. Polynomial vector fields with algebraic trajectories. In *Commutative algebra and its connections to geometry. Pan-American Advanced Studies Institute (PASI), Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Olinda, Brazil, August 3–14, 2009.*, pages 71–85. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2011.

[15] Y. L. Giluch. A real analogue of the Bryant transformation and rational integral curves of a given distribution in $\mathbb{P}^3$. *Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii. Matematika*, (6):76–81, 2005.

[16] W. Habicht. Über die Lösbarkeit gewisser algebraischer Gleichungssysteme. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 18:154–175, 1946.

[17] L. F. J. Bolton and J. Wood. Some recent developments in the study of minimal 2-spheres in spheres.

[18] N. Kalinin and G. Mikhalkin. Tropical differential forms. *in preparation.*

[19] P. Z. Kobak and B. Loo. Moduli of quaternionic superminimal immersions of 2-spheres into quaternionic projective spaces. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 16(6):527–541, 1998.

[20] S. Kobayashi. Remarks on complex contact manifolds. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 10(1):164–167, 1959.

[21] B. Loo. The space of harmonic maps of S^2 into S^4. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 313(1):81–102, 1989.

[22] B. Loo. Moduli space of branched superminimal immersions of a compact Riemann surface into S^4. *J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A*, 66(1):32–50, 1999.

[23] F. Madani and M. Nisse. Generalized logarithmic Gauss map and its relation to (co)amoebas. *Math. Nachr.*, 286(14-15):1510–1513, 2013.

[24] B. Martin and D. Y. Pochekutov. Discriminant and singularities of logarithmic gauss map, examples and application. *Журнал Сибирского федерального университета. Серия "Математика и физика"*, 6(1):74–85, 2013.

[25] R. C. McLean. Deformations and moduli of superminimal surfaces in the four-sphere. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 15(6):555–569, 1997.

[26] W. Meeks and J. Pérez. *A survey on classical minimal surface theory*, volume 60. American Mathematical Soc., 2012.

[27] E. Musso and L. Nicolodi. On Small-type formulae for curves in $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.*, 24(3):311–328, 2013.

[28] M. Passare and J.-J. Risler. On the curvature of the real amoeba. In *Proceedings of the Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2010*, pages 129–134. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.

[29] R. Piene and M. Schlessinger. On the Hilbert scheme compactification of the space of twisted cubics. *American Journal of Mathematics*, pages 761–774, 1985.

[30] B. Renschuch. Idealtheoretische Betrachtungen zu Sätzen von Eckmann, Habicht und Chow. *Math. Ann.*, 166:45–53, 1966.

[31] A. Tsygvintsev. Algebraic invariant curves of plane polynomial differential systems. *J. Phys. A, Math. Gen.*, 34(3):663–672, 2001.

[32] J.-L. Verdier. Applications harmoniques de S^2 dans S^4. In *Geometry today (Rome, 1984)*, volume 60 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 267–282. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1985.

[33] J.-L. Verdier. Applications harmoniques de S^2 dans S^4. II. In *Harmonic mappings, twistors, and σ-models (Luminy, 1986)*, volume 4 of *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.*, pages 124–147. World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1988.

[34] T. Vlachos. Isometric deformations of minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^4$. *Illinois J. Math.*, 58(2):369–380, 2014.

[35] Y.-G. Ye. Complex contact threefolds and their contact curves. *arXiv preprint alg-geom/9202003*, 1992.

[36] Y.-G. Ye. A note on complex projective threefolds admitting holomorphic contact structures. *Invent. Math.*, 115(2):311–314, 1994.